

3119-Evaluation of the Effect of Feeding Buffaloes with Different Levels of Forage on the Content of Fiber Fraction and Estimation Energy

by Tri Astuti

Submission date: 12-May-2021 11:01AM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1584130009

File name: 3119-99Z_Article.docx (24.74K)

Word count: 2050

Character count: 11464

1 Buffalo feeding

2

3 **Evaluation of the Effect of Feeding Buffaloes with Different Levels of**
4 **Forage on the Content of Fiber Fraction and Estimation Energy**

5

6 ¹Tri Astuti, ¹S.A. Akbar, ²D. Afrini and Nurhaita

7 ¹Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Mahaputra Muhammad
8 Yamin, Jalan Jenderal Sudirman No 6 Solok City, West Sumatera. Indonesia

9

10 ²Department of agribussnes, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Mahaputra Muhammad
11 Yamin, Jalan Jenderal Sudirman No 6 Solok City, West Sumatera. Indonesia

12

13 Email: adektuti@gmail.com

14

15 **Abstract-** This research aimed to evaluate effect of feeding buffaloes with different levels of
16 forage on the content of fiber fraction and estimation energy. Furthermore, it was carried out
17 by using a completely randomized design with 4 replicates. The diet treatments were: (R1),
18 100% field grass + 0% concentrate, (R2), 70% field grass + 30% concentrate, (R3), 60% field
19 grass + 40% concentrate, and (R4) 50% field grass + 50 % concentrate. The experimental
20 results showed that the feeding treatments significantly affected ($p<0.01$) the content of Acid
21 Detergent Fiber, Neutral Detergent Fibre, and estimation energy, but had no effect ($P>0, 01$)
22 on cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin. Based on the data obtained, it is concludable that
23 feeding buffaloes with different levels of forage and concentrate rations provides better
24 productivity than using field grass only.

25 **Keywords: the buffaloes, fibre fraction, energy estimation, feeding, concentrate**

26

27 **Introduction**

28 Buffaloes are a potential source of proteinous food besides cattle and other ruminants.
29 They have an advantage over cows, because of ability to live on low - quality feed availability
30 and still reproduce well (Diwyanto and Handiwirawan, 2006). Currently, the system for
31 maintaining buffaloes in Indonesia generally involves keeping the animals in marginal
32 environmental areas, with a relatively small-scaled business, practicing traditional
33 management, and with no implementation of balanced ration until now. The most important

1 case is that buffaloes are not given feed for maintenance, which causes their production not to
2 be as good as that of cattle (Muthalib, 2006; Sudirman et al, 2015). Suhubdy et al (2005) said
3 when management of buffaloes is carried out with a pattern of livestock raising, then the
4 productivity tends to be better. Many studies on feed management in ruminants have been
5 conducted, which include only few on buffalo compared to cattle. The Research of Irawati et
6 al., (2011) stated there was no different effect on the production of male buffalo that ate
7 concentrates 3 to 6 times a day. This research was conducted to improve the potential of
8 buffalo as a food protein with increased feed management and maintenance. The treatment
9 used consisted of forages and concentrates which were arranged based on the required
10 nutritional formulation. This feeding needs to first evaluate the quality by analyzing the fiber
11 fraction containing Acid Detergent Fiber (ADF), Neutral Detergent Fiber (NDF), Cellulose,
12 Hemicellulose, and Lignin. The strategy of ruminant feeding formulation needs a very urgent
13 fiber fraction which is known to be a very potent energy source when it is not inhibited by
14 other factors such as lignification and crystallization. The research of Ron et al., (1993) about
15 the levels of NDF and ADF contained in local feed ingredients given to cattle was collected
16 because it is more accurate for estimating feed consumption, energy value, and total
17 undigested nutrients. When there is a reduction in the value of the Neutral Detergent Fiber,
18 that tends to cause an increase in the lignin and decreased levels of cellulose and
19 hemicellulose which are both components of cell walls that are digestible by microbes. The
20 high levels of lignin cause microbes to be unable to utilize hemicellulose and cellulose
21 perfectly. Therefore, the content of the fiber fraction need to be optimal to ensure the feeding
22 is beneficial to ruminants. The purpose of this research was to examine the composition of
23 fiber fractions (ADF, NDF, Cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin) in buffalo rations with
24 different forage and concentrate levels.

25 **Material and Methods**

1 This research aimed to evaluate the buffalo ration with different forage and
2 concentrate levels that is balanced in fiber fraction and energy estimation based on ADF and
3 NDF content. The forages used were field grass, *Setaria sp.* and some kinds of leguminous
4 plants that grow around the farm. The concentrates were formulated from sources of feed
5 ingredients (tofu waste, rice bran, sago, and palm oil cake) that are easy to obtain, and was
6 given to 16 female buffaloes. However, the method used for selection was random sampling.

7 The analysis of fiber fraction was conducted by Filter Bag Technique (ANKOM Tech.), Van
8 Soest modification.

9 **Experimental design**

10 A completely randomized design with 4 replications was used for the experimental
11 process.

12

13 The diets treatments were:

14 R1= 100% field grass + 0 % concentrate

15 R2 = 70% field grass + 30% concentrate,

16 R3 = 60% field grass + 40% concentrate

17 R4 = 50% field grass + 50 % concentrate

18

19 The observed variables included the content of ADF, NDF, cellulose, hemicellulose,
20 and lignin ration treatments. The result of analyzing ADF and NDF was used to estimate the
21 Net Energy Maintenance (NEM), Net Energy Gain (NEG), Net Energy Lactation, and Total
22 Digestible Nutrient (Ron et al.1993).

23

24 The procedure for calculating energy estimates:

25 Net Energy Maintenance = $1,037 - 0,0124 \times \text{ADF}$

1 Net Energy Gain = $[2,54 (2,42/(NEM \times 2,2))]/2,2$

2 Net Energy Lactation = $1,037 - 0,0124 \times ADF$

3 Total Digestible Nutrient = $8 + 86 \times NEL$

4 **Statistical analysis**

5 All data were subjected to an analysis of variance and significant differences were
6 further examined by Duncan's multiple range test.

7

8 **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

9 **The Content of Fiber Fraction**

10 The results of statistical analysis showed that the treatment rations with different
11 levels of forage and concentrate had a very significant effect ($p < 0.01$) on the content of ADF
12 and NDF. Further examination with the Duncan multiple range test indicated significant
13 differences between R1, R2, R3, and R4. However, differences in the composition of the
14 forage and concentrate did not have a significant effect ($p > 0.05$) on the content of cellulose,
15 hemicellulose, and lignin. The research by Astuti et al (2019) stated that feeding buffaloes
16 with concentrate rations provides better productivity than using field grass only. Based on the
17 data in Table 1, it can be seen that the R1 treatment ration had the highest content of ADF
18 and NDF which is significantly different from R2, R3, and R4. The content of ADF and NDF
19 decreases in the ration of reduced forage and increased concentrates. This was because R1,
20 which composed of 100% forage grasses, certainly had a higher fiber content than other
21 rations (R2, R3, and R4) that used both concentrates and reduced forage. However, the ADF
22 and NDF contents were more abundant in forage grass than in concentrates. ADF includes
23 cellulose, lignin and lignified nitrogen compounds, while NDF contains cellulose,
24 hemicellulose, lignin, and lignified nitrogen compounds (Pathak, 2005). The content of
25 cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin showed no significant effect ($p > 0.05$) on differences in

1 forage and concentrate levels. Table 1 showed that the contents of cellulose was directly
2 proportional to that of hemicellulose. The average of cellulose and hemicellulose content in
3 this research was around 28.39-31.45%, and 13.31 16.79%. Taherzadeh (1999) said that the
4 amount of hemicellulose was usually between 15-30% of the dry weight of lignocellulose.
5 However, cellulose and hemicellulose content is inversely proportional to lignin content.
6 Lignin binds cellulose and hemicellulose by lignocellulose binding. Sudirman, et al (2015)
7 said the increasing levels of lignin, causes decreased hemicellulose levels. But note that,
8 cellulose and hemicellulose are part of digestible cell wall components. The results of this
9 study indicated that the average content of the ADF treatment ration ranged from 25.15 to
10 39.48%, while the NDF was 51.19-56.27%.

11

12 **Estimation Energy of Feed Treatment**

13 Available energy of feeds must be known for diet formulation and nutrition as well
14 as economic comparisons among other feedstuffs. The great demand for energy by highly
15 producing ruminants requires accurate determination of available energy of feeds. Based on
16 the data from ADF and NDF analysis, an estimation of energy content and total digestible
17 energy were calculated, as shown in Table 2.

18 The table 2 showed that the lowest NDF content of ration treatment was 51. 19%,
19 and it was also indicated that all the formulations in this study have up to 50%, and this means
20 that the feeds used were more of half part as forage and the source of fiber. The results of the
21 variant analysis showed that the use of forages and concentrates for feeding buffaloes with
22 intensifications showed high significant effect (P <0.01) on the estimated NEM, NEG, NEL,
23 and TDN. The further examination using Duncan's multiple range test indicated that the ration
24 of R1 composed of only forage has the lowest energy estimate compared to R2, R3, and R4
25 that used more of concentrate. Estimated Energy Maintenance ranged from 0. 55-0 71 Mcal,

1 NEG was 0.24-0.47Mcal, and NEL was 0.55-0.71 Mcal. The data (Table 3) showed that the
2 highest estimated energy (NEM, NEG, NEL, and TDN) of the ration treatments was found in
3 R4, and the lowest was in R1. It means the estimated energy of R1 that only consisted of field
4 grass was significantly lower than the treatment ration added in combination with
5 concentrates. The Buffaloes need energy for maintenance, growth, development,
6 reproduction, and production performance (Zicarelli L, 2004; Ståhl and Lind, 2003). Energy
7 is generally acquired from carbohydrates such as starch, cellulose, and fat. The physiological
8 nature of the digestive system in these animals makes the cellulose that exists in the roughage
9 a very important and rather cheap energy source. The energy requirement is also closely
10 associated with the type, amount, quality, and presentation method of feed consumed by
11 buffaloes. Actually, they eat better when the feeds are formulated with field grass and
12 concentrates.

13

14 **CONCLUSION**

15 Based on the results of this research, it is concludable that the content formulation rations
16 composed of both field grass and concentrate provided better energy than giving field grass
17 only to buffaloes. The best feeding formulation is achieved at the ratio of 50% field grass and
18 50% concentrate.

19 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

20 The authors are extremely grateful for the financial assistance from the Ministry of Research,
21 Technology and Higher Education of the Republic of Indonesia through Fundamental Research 2018.

22

23 **REFERENCES**

24 Astuti Tri, R.M. Sari and U. Santoso 2019. The effectiveness of forage and balanced
25 concentrate feeding on the nutritional values and performance of buffaloes. Buffalo
26 Bulletin (April-June 2019) Vol.38 No.2

- 1 Diwyanto, K. and E. Handiwirawan. 2006. Strategi pengembangan ternak kerbau: Aspek
2 penjarangan dan distribusi. *In Prosiding Lokakarya Nasional Usaha Ternak Kerbau*
3 *Mendukung Program Kecukupan Daging Sapi*. Pusat Penelitian dan Pengembangan
4 Peternakan. Bogor, Indonesia.
- 5 Irawati, E., A. Widyaningrum, E. Purbowati, R. Adiwiranti, S. Dartosukarno and W.S.
6 Dilaga. 2011. Penampilan produksi dan parameter pertumbuhan kerbau yang diberi
7 pakan konsentrat dengan frekuensi yang berbeda. prosiding. *In Seminar Nasional*
8 *Teknologi Peternakan dan Veteriner*, Bogor,
- 9 Pathak NN (2005) Comparison of feed intake, digestibility of nutrients and performance of
10 cattle (*B. indicus* and *B. indicus* x *B. taurus* crosses) and Buffaloes (Swamp and
11 Indian) In: Coping with feed scarcity in smallholder livestock systems in developing
12 countries. Ayantunde AA, Fernandez-Rivera, McCrabb editors. Animal Science UR,
13 Wageningen, The Netherlands, University of Reading, Reading, UK, Science Institute
14 of Technology, Zurich, Switzerland and Intern Livest Res Inst, Nairobi, Kenya. 209-
15 233
- 16 Ron L. Belyea, Barry Steevens, George Garner, Jack C. Whittier and Homer Sewell, 1993.
17 Using NDF and ADF To Balance Diets. Department of Animal
- 18 Ståhl-Högberg M, Lind O (2003) Buffalo Milk Production.
19 http://www.milkproduction.com/Library/Authors/Mikaela_Stahl_Hogberg.htm
- 20 Sudirman, Suhubdy, Sofyan Damrah Hasan, Syamsul Hidayat Dilaga, I Wayan Karda. 2015.
21 (Neutral Detergent Fibre (NDF) and Acid Detergent Fibre (ADF) Contents of Local
22 Forages Fed to Cattle at Communal Cages. *Jurnal Ilmu dan Teknologi Peternakan*
23 *Indonesia* Volume 1 (1) : 66-70; Desember 2015
- 24 Suhubdy, 2005a. Development of buffalo cattle in indonesia: Obstacles and knitting strategy.
25 *In the National Seminar Modern In Animal Husbandry Industry*, LIPI Cooperation

1 - Livestock Service Office. Mataram, Indonesia.

2 Taherzadeh, M. J. (1999). Ethanol from Lignocellulose: Physiological Effects of
3 Inhibitors and Fermentation Strategies, Chemical Reaction Engineering,
4 Chalmers University of Technology, Göteborg, Sweden.

5 Van Soest, P. J., J. B. Robertson, and B. A. Lewis. 1991. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral
6 detergent fiber, and non-starch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. *J. Dairy*
7 *Sci.* 74:3583-3597.

8 Zicarelli L (2004) Water Buffalo Nutrition, ZOOTECH 2004 – VI Congresso Internacional de
9 Zootecnia, XIV Congresso Nacional de Zootecnia, X Reuniao Nacional de Ensino em
10 Zootecnia e XVII Forum de Entidades de Zootecnia, Brasilia 28–31.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

3119-Evaluation of the Effect of Feeding Buffaloes with Different Levels of Forage on the Content of Fiber Fraction and Estimation Energy

ORIGINALITY REPORT

4%

SIMILARITY INDEX

4%

INTERNET SOURCES

0%

PUBLICATIONS

0%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1

repo.unand.ac.id
Internet Source

4%

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches < 4%

Exclude bibliography On